

Our regulation of social housing in Scotland Discussion questions

We welcome your general feedback on our proposals as well as answers to the specific questions we have raised. You can read our discussion paper on our website at www.housingregulator.gov.scot Please do not feel you have to answer every question unless you wish to do so.

Send your comp	leted questic	onnaire to us by 11 August 2	2023.	
By email @: re	gulatoryfram	neworkreview@shr.gov.scot		
Or post to: Scottish Housin 2 nd floor , Georg 36 North Hanov				
Name/organis	sation nam	e		
Dundee City	Council			
Address				
5 City Square	Э			
Dundee				
			Email	
Postcode DD1 3	BA	Phone 01382 434538	elaine.zwirlein@dundeecity	y.gov.uk
To help make thi receive, as we re you are respondi	is a transpar eceive them. ing as an inc		blish on our website the respo u would like us to handle your our contact details.	
_	_			
Yes ⊠	No 🗌			
If you are respo	onding as ar	ı individual		
Please tell us how you would like your response to be published.				Pick 1
Publish my full				
Please publish my response, but not my name				\boxtimes

- 1. We believe that our regulatory priorities should be:
 - listening and responding effectively to tenants and service users
 - providing good quality and safe homes
 - keeping homes as affordable as possible
 - doing all they can to reduce the number of people who are experiencing homelessness

We are keen to hear your feedback on these priorities. Are they the right ones?

Yes.

2. What are your views on amending the Statutory Guidance on Annual Assurance Statements to include provisions on specific assurance?

There needs to be adequate notice and guidance shared with Landlords regarding specific areas of assurance to give sufficient time to review and prepare for their return.

3. Do you think that we need to change any of the indicators in the ARC or add to these?

No.

4. Are the proposed areas of focus for tenant and resident safety indicators the right ones, and what should those indicators be?

Yes - subject to clear guidance being circulated timely with sufficient notice re implementation for areas that are not already in place. There needs to be a distinction between damp/mould as structural and landlord responsibility and condensation. Indicators could include repeated cases of damp and mould repairs in a property of where there have been multiple, the number of inspections could give an indication, aligned with improved SAP rating on EESSH measures.

5. What do you think would be the most effective and appropriate way to monitor the effectiveness of landlords' approach to managing reports and instances of mould and dampness?

This is a challenging indicator to measure as there can be several reasons for the reporting of dampness and mould as well as several treatments/remedies to rectify. Indicators could include the total spend on this specific issue.

6. What are your views on strengthening the Framework further on landlords listening to tenants and service users?

Yes, in principle this is supported subject to further detail.

7. How do you think we could streamline the requirements for landlords in the Notifiable Events statutory guidance?

Full review of the statutory guidance and a clean simple process to upload via the portal.

8. Do you think there is value in using more direct language in the working towards compliance status, or in introducing an intermediary regulatory status between compliant and working towards compliance?

RSL - N/A.

9. Are there any changes we should make to the Significant Performance Failures approach, including how we define these?

The focus is maybe more about engaging with Tenants Organisations such as TIS or TPAS and Tenants Groups to raise awareness and understanding rather than changing? Should this be further consulted directly with tenants to understand why there is reduced reporting? if not being used consider what is deemed a significant fail and how is this measured? Also need to consider the other routes that customers can go e.g. ombudsman.

10. <i>A</i>	Are there any other changes to the Regulatory Framework and associated guidance that yo	U
WC	ould suggest?	
	No	

Thank you for taking the time to give us your feedback!