

Consultation on the future of our regulatory approach in response to the COVID-19 pandemic: Consultation questions

We welcome your general feedback on our proposals as well as answers to the specific questions we have raised. Please do not feel you have to answer every question unless you wish to do so.

Send your completed questionnaire to us by **14 August 2020.**

By email @:	shr@shr.gov.sco	<u>t</u>			
Or post to:	r post to: Scottish Housing Regulator Buchanan House 58 Port Dundas Road, Glasgow, G4 0HF				
Name/orga	nisation name				
Homes for I	ife Housing Partners	ship Ltd			
Address					
Tolbooth	Tolbooth Gate				
57 Marke	Street				
Haddingto	on				
East Loth	ian				
	144.0.10	Phone 01620 829300	Email		
To help mak	ould like your re e this a transparei	esponse to be handled nt process we intend to put		esponses we	
How you w To help mak receive, as w you are response	ould like your re e this a transparer re receive them. Play conding as an individ	sponse to be handled	blish on our website the re rould like us to handle your r contact details.	esponses we	
How you w To help mak receive, as w you are response	ould like your re e this a transparer re receive them. Play conding as an individ	esponse to be handled on the process we intend to pulease let us know how you will not publish you	blish on our website the re rould like us to handle your r contact details.	esponses we	
How you w To help mak receive, as w you are respect Are you ha Yes	ould like your re e this a transparer re receive them. Play conding as an individ	esponse to be handled on the process we intend to pulease let us know how you will dual, we will not publish you ponse to be published of	blish on our website the re rould like us to handle your r contact details.	esponses we	
How you w To help mak receive, as w you are response Are you ha Yes If you are re	ould like your re e this a transparence receive them. Ple onding as an individ ppy for your res esponding as an	esponse to be handled on the process we intend to pulease let us know how you will dual, we will not publish you ponse to be published of	blish on our website the revould like us to handle your r contact details.	esponses we	
How you w To help mak receive, as w you are respond Are you ha Yes If you are re	ould like your re e this a transparence receive them. Ple onding as an individ ppy for your res esponding as an	esponse to be handled int process we intend to pul ease let us know how you we dual, we will not publish you ponse to be published of individual	blish on our website the revould like us to handle your r contact details.	esponses we	

1. Are our proposals for the Affidial Assurance Statement right?		
No, these are inconsistent with the approach taken for all other periodic regulatory returns during the uniquely challenging circumstances.	se	
2. Should we publish advisory guidance to assist landlords to adapt their approato the submission of the AAS?	ch	
Yes.		

3. Would you like to make any other comments or suggestions about our approach to getting Annual Assurance Statements?

SHR has so far been consistent in its consideration of potential C19 impact on RSLs and reflecting that in its requirement for periodic regulatory returns. Submission deadlines for these have consistently been deferred by a minimum of 2 months- with the sole proposed exception of the AAS. This proposal is clearly inconsistent with that broader approach- which fairly reflects current challenges and related workload. This is surprising and inappropriate given that the challenges for governance under current C19 restrictions are so clearly recognised in guidance issued by or endorsed by SHR. Most RSLs had, under current circumstances, reasonably anticipated that SHR would take the same position on the AAS deadline and announce an equivalent deferral. It seems illogical that SHR have not done so.

It feels like an error of judgement, under these uniquely challenging circumstances, to propose there should be no equivalent deferral for the key governance return to be made by RSLs. This is particularly so, given the scale and seriousness of the undertaking required individually of governing body members and collectively of governing bodies in approving and submitting these returns- which requires thorough and comprehensive collection and collation of information across the full range of requirements, as well as thorough review and analysis of that performance in order to make the necessary informed and appropriate judgement on compliance and statement of assurance. The challenges of doing so without any likely possibility of physical meeting, relying entirely on virtual meeting and sharing of information should not be underestimated, and do not appear to have been adequately taken into account in this proposal.

The assurance process is a relatively new one, with which governing bodies and members are still becoming accustomed. Seeking to enforce an unnecessarily tight timescale for its return under current challenging circumstances can only have a negative outcome- for all concerned. This proposal risks undermining the confidence of governing bodies and staff, as well as potentially their customers and other stakeholders.

SHR have suggested that those who feel an end October AAS submission would put them under undue strain could make an individual case for a deferral. We feel strongly that is an inappropriate position. Those who feel able to make earlier submissions can and do for all periodic returns to SHR. Others take the full time allowed and are not seen as special cases for doing so. This suggestion appears disingenuous and demeaning to the huge efforts being made by governing body members and staff seeking to maintain maximum possible services for our customers under these uniquely challenging circumstances.

Surely, given that RSLs currently need to prepare for further expansion of services and phased return to offices, to communicate with customers on necessary changes, and to account to their memberships through virtual AGMs- an equivalent deferral for AAS returns is clearly also required.

An equivalent minimum deferral for the AAS would be no earlier than an end December return. However, given the festive closedown- which this year is likely to be all the more necessary- the earliest reasonable date for a deferred AAS return would be mid-January, but preferably end January.
That may require a subsequent deferral of issue of Engagement Plans, again reflecting current uniquely changed and challenging circumstances. If so, customers, lenders, Government, other Regulators, RSLs, and other stakeholders would accept and support that as reasonable and necessary.
4. Are our proposals for the publication of Charter performance right?
Yes. These are reasonable and fully consistent with SHR's position on other deferrals with the sole exception of the AAS.
5: Would you like to make any other comments or suggestions about our approach to the publication of Charter performance?
No.
6: Are our proposals for the publication of Engagement Plans and regulatory status right?
In principle yes- provided SHR has time after a deferred end Jan AAS return to collate information, assess compliance and prepare plans. However, as indicated, if that timescale feels too tight for SHR and issue of Engagement Plans needs to be deferred- then customers, lenders, Government, other Regulators, RSLs, and other stakeholders would accept and support that as reasonable and necessary under these uniquely challenging circumstances.
7: Would you like to make any other comments or suggestions about our approach
to the publication of Engagement Plans and regulatory status?
No.
Thank you for taking the time to give us your feedback!